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Current trends affecting America’s military suggest the need for rapid mobilization of updated social work staff development in all areas pertaining to services for military, veterans, and their families. These trends include increasing suicide rates, high divorce rates, and risk-taking reintegration behaviors (e.g., substance misuse, risky driving, legal issues) among current active duty personnel (Booth, Segal, & Place, 2009; Seal et al., 2008), increased casualty severity among combat personnel due to a 98% survival rate from combat injuries (Vasterling, Proctor, Amoroso, Kane, Heeren, & White, 2006), the Global War on Terror’s (GWOT) signature injuries of hearing loss, peripheral nerve damage, and co-morbid posttraumatic stress disorder and traumatic brain injury (Cooper, 2008; Dole et al., 2007; Gaylord, Cooper, Mercado, Kennedy, Yoder, & Holcomb, 2008; Hoge, Auclterlonie, & Milliken, 2006; Hoge, McGurk, Thomas, Cox, Engel, & Castro, 2008; Vasterling, Proctor, Amoroso, Kane, Heeren, & White, 2006; Tanelian & Jaycox, 2008), higher unemployment rates among Gulf War and GWOT veterans than the national average (Knowles, 2011; Prigerson, Maciejewski, & Rosenheck, 2001), and increasing numbers of aging veterans from the Vietnam and Gulf War eras requiring housing, personal care, and long-term care (Benotsch, Brailey, Vasterling, Uddo, Constans, & Sutker, 2000; Doebbeling et al., 2000; Rosenheck, Bassuk, & Salomon, 1999; Author removed & Selby, 2009). Further, while reports of overall rates of spousal and child abuse among military compared to civilians are conflicting, high severity spousal abuse may be higher in the military and increased rates of both spousal and child abuse varying with deployment cycles have been observed (Bradley, 2007; Heyman & Neidig, 1999; McCarroll et al., 2010; Rentz, Martin, Gibbs, Clinton-Sherrard, Hardison, & Marshall, 2006; Wadsworth, 2010). Both the existing social work role in military and VA service systems and social work expertise in interagency coordination and managing complex service systems make it clear that social workers in those systems, as well as in the community, will play a pivotal role in implementing such coordination. Community-based social work agencies with a high level of preparedness can work most effectively with social workers in the military and VA systems to provide crucial information and referral, case management, intervention, and psychosocial rehabilitation services to soldiers who are separating from service as they make the transition back to civilian life. Practice with military/veterans can be enhanced by continuing education on the emerging literature examining specific operation of risk and protective mechanisms for this population (Brailey, Vasterling, Proctor, Constans, & Friedman, 2007; Larsen, Highfill, McRoy, & Booth-Kewley, 2008; Prigerson, Maciejewski, & Rosenheck, 2002; Smith et al., 2008; Author removed, 2009a, 2009b; Author removed, in press; Vogt & Tanner, 2007).

Implications of the literature on the needs of Iraq (OIF) and Afghanistan (OEF) active duty and veterans of all combat eras and their families must also be considered by undergraduate and graduate social work programs. Addressing relevant educational needs for the current cohorts of social work students could have a significant “pay-off” in national preparedness to meet these needs. At the 2008 Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) Annual Program Meeting, the CSWE Joint Task Force on Veterans’ Affairs (CSWE, 2008) presented a preliminary report recommending that social work education introduce new content to provide students with an understanding of military culture and veterans’ services, and to enhance their practice preparedness.
to work with these populations. Knowledge and practice behaviors for advanced practice in military social work have been developed and published by CSWE (CSWE, 2010). The 2010 Annual Program Meeting highlighted several Schools of Social Work that are developing such content in the form of undergraduate and graduate coursework, graduate concentrations or certificate programs, post-graduate continuing education certificate programs, and combined graduate and post-graduate certificate programs (CSWE, 2010).

Research Aims
This article reports on one of those efforts, a study which investigates the development and pilot-testing of social work curriculum modules utilizing distance education technology, face to face instruction, and hybrid instruction to address these recommendations with community practitioners and social work students. It was hypothesized that military field instruction students would make greater use of online modules and associated resources than military social work tutorial students, and that non-VA field students working with veterans/military in a civilian host setting would make greater use of online modules and associated resources than VA field students. Based on literature suggesting the relative effectiveness of hybrid over fully online instruction (Ayala, 2009; Hisle-Gorman & Zuravin, 2006; U.S. Department of Education, 2010; Yorke, 2008), it was hypothesized that students in a standard course with hybrid instruction would make greater use of online modules and associated resources than field instruction students, even though more of the module content would be delivered in a face to face format.

Method
Participants
A convenience sample of 22 social work field instruction and tutorial students, 26 advanced graduate standard class students, and 36 licensed practitioners participated in the pilot test of the social work curriculum modules, presented in an online, hybrid, or face to face format to students and a face to face format to practitioners. The students were 94% female and 69% Caucasian. The practitioners were 86% female and 89% Caucasian.

Procedure
Several preliminary studies were completed, including a meta-analysis of literature on protective factors for persons with psychiatric disorders (Author removed, 2005), three cross-sectional studies of veterans’ protective factors (Author removed, 2009b, 2009c, in press), a systematic review of the neuroscience literature for social work practice from 2000-2009 (Author removed & Wilder, 2010), and a literature review relevant to military and veterans’ health status, health and social service needs, and evidence-based social work practice with these populations (Author removed, 2009a, 2009d). Using the community-based participatory action research (CBPAR) approach, critique of research outcomes and applications to the proposed curriculum was obtained from stakeholders, including military personnel, military family members, and veterans. Based on the findings of this research, curriculum modules with supportive classroom materials were developed within a web-based distance education technology and an equivalent face to face course format for field instruction, coursework, independent study, and continuing education (textbooks which were required for students and recommended for practitioners are listed in the appendix). Thus, all curriculum modules are designed to be deliverable in face to face, hybrid, or fully online formats. Findings pertinent to military social work education needs were summarized in the following areas, and the online course platform and face to face curriculum were designed accordingly:

- Military- and veteran-specific health risks and protective factors
- Military/veteran high incidence health conditions over time
- Military/veteran families’ high incidence health conditions and social service needs over time
- Neuroscience fundamentals and human biology relevant to these factors
- Military culture
Evidence-based practice methods, including manualized treatment protocols, for effective treatment of these conditions

- Evidence-based methods, including manualized protocols, that target protective mechanisms at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels
- DoD and VA benefits and delivery systems: strengths and weaknesses
- Needs for policy analysis and change to address changing needs of current military and veteran populations and their families

An online course platform (WebCT) was used to design and launch social curriculum modules of content in these areas for students. It was pilot-tested with two categories of social work students: 1) those who were engaged in micro, macro, and foundation generalist (graduate and undergraduate) field placements with Veterans’ Administration facilities and non-VA agencies serving active duty military and veterans, and 2) those who were engaged in an elective independent tutorial on micro or macro practice with military and veteran populations. Undergraduate field students were added to the field instruction pilot test in the third year. The neuroscience modules were expanded and developed into a hybrid (i.e., with a second website) advanced elective graduate course in the second year. These modules were pilot-tested over a two year period with community practitioners in three continuing education workshops on military/veteran social work as the foundation for development of a post-graduate certificate program.

Concomitantly, the modules and materials were incorporated into face to face continuing education courses which were pilot-tested with licensed community practitioners. Modules were pilot-tested over a two year period with community practitioners in three continuing education workshops on military/veteran social work as the foundation for development of a post-graduate certificate program.

Based on pilot-test findings reported here and requests from community stakeholders, a graduate MSSW and a post-graduate military certificate program were developed utilizing the curriculum modules. The graduate certificate is under review and the post-graduate certificate program has been approved and was set to launch in 2011.

Data Analysis
Quantitative data consisted of website utilization counts analyzed by descriptive and correlation statistics using SPSS 17. Qualitative data consisted of course evaluation comments and follow-up requests to the continuing education program, which were analyzed by content analysis and thematic synthesis (Miles & Huberman, 1994).

Results
Description of Structure and Content of the Curriculum Modules and Online Sites
The evidence-based design of the curriculum modules is summarized in Table 1. The initial website was structured into 4 modules. Module 1 was designated for introductory material, including summaries of the foundational research, information on high-need local resources and military culture, and introduction to evidence-based practice for high prevalence health conditions (e.g., sections on posttraumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, military sexual trauma, sleep disorders, neurological underpinnings of stress, cop-
The expanded neuroscience website was structured into six modules: (a) human development, (b) genetics, (c) mental health (DSM conditions, resilience, and positive mental wellness) and substance abuse, (d) cognition, (e) stress and trauma, and (f) violence and aggression. The online course platform was designed around the identified practice domains which included the results of the systematic review research findings, central nervous system anatomy and physiology, and targeted instruction in how to interpret neuroscience study findings in the range of study types and to evaluate their quality as evidence for practice. Study types included those utilizing brain imaging technologies (e.g., diffusion tensor imaging, diffusion weighted imaging, spectroscopy, functional magnetic resonance imaging), genomic mapping technology, and standardized neurological procedures/paradigms (e.g., think-no think, go-no go, fearful faces, the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, the Stroop test, the Trailmaking Test). A sample of an online module may be seen in Table 3.

**Description of Structure and Content of the Associated Certificate Programs**

The graduate military certificate program which is under review incorporates the CSWE Advanced Competencies in Military Social Work and is designed for both Community and Administrative Practice concentrators and concentrators in Direct Practice with a specialization in Mental

---

**Table 1: Evidence Base for Curriculum Module Design**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors from the Evidence</th>
<th>Related Social Work Education Area</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased risk for conditions which affect brain and cognitive functioning</td>
<td>Neuroscience fundamentals and human biology</td>
<td>Course in neuroscience/human biology for military high prevalence conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for evidence based interventions for effective prevention, treatment, and health care access for these conditions</td>
<td>Evidence-based practice (EBP) methods, including manualized protocols</td>
<td>Design military core course/tutorial/field site, and infuse micro/macro practice courses with enhanced EBP approaches, including search techniques and practice toolkits; offer field placements which utilize manualized interventions and protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for use of specific protective factors</td>
<td>Evidence-based methods, including manualized protocols, that target these mechanisms at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels</td>
<td>Micro/macro military core course/tutorial on known protective mechanisms and related EBP methods, including program development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Health. The military social work curriculum modules described above are proposed to be delivered via the online platform for field instruction in military/veteran settings and in online and hybrid instruction in advanced year standard courses in military social work (replacing the military social work tutorial from the pilot-test phase) and neuroscience. Certificate students will also take courses in social work in health care settings and in aging which are infused with military-specific content (e.g., military Polytrauma Centers, the VA health delivery system, VA medical foster care, VA domiciliary care, impact of military service in later life stages, traumatic brain injury and early-onset dementia, delayed onset PTSD, formal and informal caregiving for veterans across the life course).

The post-graduate military certificate program for licensed practitioners is a 60-hour program consisting of four required and six elective seminars. The same textbooks are required (see Appendix). The military social work curriculum modules described above are delivered online, face to face, or in hybrid formats in the required seminars, which include a pass/fail mastery test: Neuroscience Underpinnings of Risk and Resilience in Trauma-Exposed Populations
- Diagnostic Assessment of Stress and Trauma Disorders and Related Co-Morbid Conditions
- Prolonged Exposure Therapy Skills and Evidence-Based Practice Practicum I
- Prolonged Exposure and Cognitive Restructuring Therapy Skills Practicum II

Table 2: Sample Online Module for Field Instruction and Tutorial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Menu</th>
<th>Module 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Field Placement General Materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. DACFormat.pdf (File: DACFormat.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. FROM RESEARCH TO PRACTICE.doc (File: FROM RESEARCH TO PRACTICE.doc)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Risk and Resilience over Lifespan: Practice Paradigm (File: RiskResilienceParadigm.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. General_Behavioral_Observation_Scale (File: General_Behavioral_Observation_Scale.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Psychosocial Well-Being Scale Validation.pdf (File: PsychosocialWellBeingScaleValidation.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Single-subject designs article.pdf (File: Copy of single-subject designs article.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. SSDMethod.pdf (File: SSDMethod.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. CAGE article.pdf (File: CAGE article.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Brief Therapy: Sexual Solution Development, Program (File: BriefTherapyProgram.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Conversation about SPT.pdf (File: ConversationsaboutSPT.pdf)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elective seminars are online and face to face and will be updated each semester based on the emerging knowledge base. They may include: (a) Complementary/Adjunct Interventions for Trauma-Exposed Populations; (b) Assessing Suicide Risk and Suicide Prevention in Military Populations; (c) Practice with Aging Trauma-Exposed Populations, including military retirees and veterans; (d) Practice with Trauma-Exposed Infants and Young Children; (e) In Your Own Practice: Identifying, Appraising, and Evaluating Outcomes indicated by New Evidence on Military Populations; (f) Marital/Partner Relationship Issues and Intervention Approaches for Military/Veteran Populations; and (g) The Military Family and Dependent and Nondependent Caregivers.

Quantitative Results
To date, 22 students, under the direction of five field instructors and course instructors, have utilized the original online site and associated materials; three students did not review materials long enough to register on the site (see Table 4). A subgroup of seven students in this sample also utilized a second client website specific to one of the field placement sites. Students averaged 85 separate utilization points of the site per semester, ranging from 54-212 for full semesters, and 20-82 for the current semester at the midterm point.
Students averaged review of 37.8% of total content pages of the course website and 29.5% of the client website.

As hypothesized, a significant negative correlation was found between course type and the number and percent (both r=−.61; p < .05) of content pages used, indicating that undergraduate and advanced graduate field students had higher utilization of the website and materials. Independent study students tended to focus on materials directly related to their project, such as single system design materials. As more material was included over time, there was wider variation in the types of materials accessed. As hypothesized, significant positive correlations were found between non-VA field placement site and number of accesses (hits), percent of total content, and percent of different content pages utilized (r=.80, r=.75, r=.84, all at p<.01).

As hypothesized, standard course students in the hybrid course utilized more of the online content than field instruction students. Standard course students averaged 417.5 separate utilization points of the neuroscience site for the semester, ranging from 34-799. Students averaged review of 72% (144/201) of total content pages (i.e., posted items) of the course website. The most utilized online elements were: (a) the course PowerPoint presentations on the Fundamentals of Neuroscience, Brain Imaging Studies of Trauma and Anxiety Disorders, and Genetics; (b) the overview article on neuroscience and social work; and (c) articles on neuroimaging studies of the DSM disorders, neurocognitive performance in traumatic brain injury and PTSD, hippocampal volume in unipolar depression, meta-cognition in schizophrenia, and the psychobiology of child neglect.

Qualitative Results

Content analysis of course evaluations and papers for the advanced graduate course yielded the following highest frequency type of comment and concepts:

- I acquired knowledge and skills that will be useful in my future.
- Like the use of clickers to review materials and to take tests. Like the WebCT online courses [sic] we did.
- I found most helpful everything (notes, articles, etc.) posted on WebCT at the beginning of the semester.
- The class itself was extremely interesting, yet somewhat complicated. I think [the instructor] did an excellent job explaining the complexities of the subject.
- Treatment of PTSD
- Veterans’ courts and PTSD
- Homelessness and mental illness
- Pain management

Content analysis of course evaluations for courses for licensed practitioners and their follow-up contacts yielded the following highest frequency type of comment:

- Focused and on target with relevant examples and contemporary research results.
- Focused a lot on PTSD treatment that was true for military and civilians. Though discussed issues in TX [treatment] for military. # Great information.
- Need a certificate course in this subject!
- I hope this is offered again in the fall or spring. I will be telling co-workers about this seminar.
- Would like your recommendation for specific diagnostic tool(s) for TBI/post concussive symptom.
- Presenters’ full scope knowledge base; especially considerations toward brain chemical/ neuro behavioral involvement when considering a [sic] primarily behavior based conditions.
- I wish we could have ongoing access to the WebCT site demonstrated in the workshop!
- Cutting edge literature reference; use of multi-theoretical approaches with focus on prolonged exposure, very specific information to take away to practice.

Themes emerged relating to the curriculum modules’ effectiveness in meeting perceived need for more practice skills and useful theory training pertaining to military populations, and a related need for more or ongoing exposure to neuroscience fundamentals (from practitioners), more
Table 4: Quantitative Results for Field Instruction and Tutorial Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most used segments</th>
<th>Field 2007-2008</th>
<th># Hits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Creating Spending Plan Eval</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Introduction</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Evaluating Decisions Eval</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article: Choose Get Keep Supported Educ. Model</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Creating Spending Plan Lesson</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Examples- Skills Programs</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Educational Skills Eval</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Evaluating Decisions Lesson</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most used segments</th>
<th>Field 2009</th>
<th># Hits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soldiers Power Point Slide Show</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Procedures</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot Project Grant Application</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP Practice Guidelines for PTSD Tx</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD Manualized Tx Protocol</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Creating Spending Plan Eval</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Planning Daily Schedule Lesson</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: Developing a Study Plan Lesson</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI Bill article</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm reduction intervention article</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WebCT Utilization</th>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>(N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Use Per Month Per Student</td>
<td>BSW Field (n=1)</td>
<td>MSW Field Foundation (n=5)</td>
<td>MSW Field Advanced (n=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Use</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>795</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>14975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
training in the biological changes underpinning treatments (from practitioners), and neuroscience and evidence-based findings synthesized for application to social work practice with military personnel and families (from students and practitioners). For example, participants gained knowledge on implications of life stage theory for active duty military children and teens.

A sub-theme connecting to those major themes related to increased understanding of vulnerabilities and risk factors of combat personnel and their families, and how they related to specific protective mechanisms for varying outcomes across the life course. For example, several participants commented on the importance of their post-training increased understanding of the psychobiology of child neglect and early trauma in fully appreciating increased risk for effects of adult trauma exposure (both head injury and psychological), and implications for the potential protective effect of an appropriate military occupational specialty during active duty. Other participants observed implications of the content for intervening more effectively with combat personnel with PTSD who engage in interpersonal violence, as contrasted with effective interventions for an aggressor who does not have PTSD. Yet others noted an increased understanding of the protective effects of military culture for military members in terms of enhanced social capital, structure, and improved skills in activities of daily living (e.g., time management, orderliness, and intergroup relationships).

Discussion

This pilot test of military social work curriculum models suggests that there is a perceived need for such content for professional development by social work students and practitioners, and that such content can be designed and delivered effectively in distance education (online) and hybrid formats, as well as face to face. Social work education literature has previously suggested that, if properly designed (Coe, Regan, & Freddolino, 2009; MacFadden, Moore, Herie, & Schoech, 2005; Reeves & Reeves, 2008), hybrid (Schoech & Helton, 2002) and online (Allen & Seaman, 2005; Quinn, Regan, & Schoech, 2008) coursework can be efficiently and effectively delivered. This pilot test addressed preliminary effectiveness both instructionally, in terms of course content design and utilization, and in terms of cost-effectiveness of distance content delivery across remote field instruction sites. Efficiency and cost-effectiveness are particularly salient when considering the current issues impacting on length of stay in military treatment facilities (Priest & Hull, 2007; Zwerdling, 2007) and the growing need for culturally competent and evidence-informed practice with Reservists, National Guardsmen, and aging, as well as young adult, veterans in rural and exurban settings far from VA and military treatment centers (Langbein, 2008; Merrow, 2008; Milliken, Auchterlonie, & Hoge, 2007; Wolfe, Erickson, Sharakansky, King, & King, 1999). Further, data suggest that current All Volunteer Force veterans often have access to non-VA health care and may be more likely to use it than earlier draft-era veterans (Congressional Budget Office, 2007; Prigerson, Maciejewski, & Rosenheck, 2001; Author removed, in press). These factors indicate that social workers in civilian settings, not just those in military and veteran facilities, will encounter military and veterans and their families and must be prepared with up to date knowledge and skills to serve them. This study demonstrated that up to date military social work content, including cutting edge neuroscience knowledge, could be delivered across VA and non-VA field instruction sites, as well as in standard social work education coursework and continuing education venues. Further replication of these pilot findings is warranted, with future investigation including content knowledge assessment and observational skills ratings. Future research should also do head to head comparisons of the three delivery formats with community practitioners, as these comparisons in social work education have primarily been done with social work students rather than for professional development in continuing education venues.
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*When more than one source appears on a similar topic, students/practitioners select the one that is most relevant to their practice from among them. Students in different concentrations select from among sources designated for their concentrations.